arXiv:1702.04060v1 [math.CO] 14 Feb 2017

Maximum Independent Sets Partition of (n, k)-Star Graphs*

Fu-Tao Hu†

School of Mathematical Sciences, Anhui University, Hefei, 230601, P.R. China

Abstract: The (n, k)-star graph is a very important computer modelling. The independent number and chromatic number of a graph are two important parameters in graph theory. However, we did not know the values of this two parameters of the (n, k)-star graph since it was proposed. In [18], Wei et. al. declared that they determined the independent number of the (n, k)-star graph, unfortunately their proof is wrong. This paper generalize their result and present a maximum independent sets partition of (n, k)-star graph. From that we can immediately deduce the exact value of the independent number and chromatic number of (n, k)-star graph.

Keywords: (n, k)-star graph, independent set, independent number, chromatic number.
AMS Subject Classification: 05C69

1 Introduction

For graph-theoretical notation and terminology not defined here we follow [20]. In particular, let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple undirected graph without loops and multi-edges, where $V = V(G)$ is the *vertex set*, and $E = E(G)$ is the *edge set*. If $xy \in E(G)$, we call two vertices x and y are *adjacent*. For a vertex x, all the vertices adjacent to it are the *neighbors* of x.

A subset S of V is said to be an *independent set* if no two of vertices are adjacent in S of a graph G. The cardinality of a maximum independent set in a graph G is called the *independent number* of G and is denoted by $\alpha(G)$. Let C be a set of k colours. A *k-vertex-colouring* (simply a *k-colouring*), is a mapping $c : V \to C$ such that any two adjacent vertices are assigned the different colours of graph G. A graph is *k-colourable* if it has a k-colouring. The *chromatic number*, which is denoted by $\chi(G)$, is the minimum k for which graph G is k-colourable.

As we known, the interconnection networks take an important part in the parallel computing/communication systems. An interconnection network can be modeled by a

*The work was supported by NNSF of China (Nos. 11401004, 11371028, 11471016).
† Correspondence to: F.-T. Hu; e-mail: hufu@ahu.edu.cn

graph where the the processors are the vertices and the edges are the communication links.

In 1989, Akers and Krishnamurthy [1] introduced the n-dimensional star graph S_n, which has superior degree and diameter compared to the hypercube as well as it is highly hierarchical and symmetrical [9]. However, the vertex cardinality of the n-dimensional star is $n!$. The gap between $n!$ and $(n+1)!$ is very large when S_n is extended to S_{n+1}. Chiang and Chen [7] in 1995 generalized the star graph S_n to the (n,k)-star graph, which preserves many good properties of the star graph and has smaller scale. Since he (n,k)-star graph was introduced, it has received great attention in the literature [2–8, 10–17, 19, 21, 22] since then on.

The independent number and chromatic number of a graph are two important parameters in graph theory. In [18], Wei et. al. declared that they determined the independent number of the (n,k)-star graph, their result is right, unfortunately their proof is wrong. In Section 3, we will show a counterexample of their result. In Section 4, we will present a maximum independent sets partition and determine the exact value of the independent number and chromatic number of (n,k)-star graph.

2 Preliminary results

We use $[n]$ to denote the set $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$, where n is a positive integer. A *permutation* of $[n]$ is a sequence of n distinct symbols of $u_i \in [n]$, $u_1u_2\ldots u_n$. The n-dimensional *star network*, denoted by S_n, is a graph with the vertex set

$$V(S_n) = \{u_1u_2\ldots u_n : u_i \in [n], u_i \neq u_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}.$$

The edges are specified as follows:

$E(S_n)$: $u_1u_2\ldots u_n$ is adjacent to $v_1v_2\ldots v_n$ iff there exists i with $2 \leq i \leq n$ such that $v_j = u_j$ for $j \notin \{1,i\}$, $v_1 = u_i$ and $v_i = u_1$;
The Star graphs are vertex-transitive $(n-1)$-regular of order $n!$.

Let n and k be two positive integers with $k \in [n-1]$, and let $\Gamma_{n,k}$ be the set of all k-permutations on $[n]$, that is, $\Gamma_{n,k} = \{p_1p_2\ldots p_k : p_i \in [n] \text{ and } p_i \neq p_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}$. In 1995, Chiang and Chen [7] generalized the Star graph to (n,k)-Star graph denoted by $S_{n,k}$ with vertex set $V(S_{n,k}) = \Gamma_{n,k}$. The adjacency is defined as follows: $p_1p_2\ldots p_i\ldots p_k$ is adjacent to
(1) $p_ip_2\ldots p_1\ldots p_k$ where $2 \leq i \leq k$;
(2) $xp_2\ldots p_i\ldots p_k$ where $x \in [n] \setminus \{p_i : i \in [k]\}$.

By definition, $S_{n,k}$ is $(n-1)$-regular vertex-transitive with $n!/(n-k)!$ vertices. Moreover, $S_{n,n-1} \cong S_n$ and $S_{n,1} \cong K_n$ where K_n is the complete graph with order n.

Let $S^i_{n-1,k-1}$ denote a subgraph of $S_{n,k}$ induced by all the vertices with the same last symbol i, for each $i \in [n]$. See Figure 1 for instance.

Lemma 2.1 (Chiang and Chen [7], 1995) *$S_{n,k}$ can be decomposed into n subgraphs $S^i_{n,k}$, $i \in [n]$, and each subgraph $S^i_{n-1,k-1}$ is isomorphic to $S_{n-1,k-1}$.*

Lemma 2.2 (Li and Xu [13], 2014) *For any $\alpha = p_2p_3\ldots p_k \in \Gamma_{n,k-1}$ $(k \geq 2)$, let $V_\alpha = \{p_1\alpha : p_1 \in [n],\ p_1 \neq p_i,\ 2 \leq i \leq k\}$. Then the subgraph of $S_{n,k}$ induced by V_α is a complete graph of order $n-k+1$, denoted by K^α_{n-k+1}.*



Figure 1: The $(4,2)$-star graph $S_{4,2}$.

3 Comments on Wei et. al.'s result

In [18], Wei et. al. declared that they determined the independent number of the (n,k)-star graph which was $\alpha(S_{n,k}) = \frac{n!}{(n-k+1)!}$. We have checked their proof carefully. However, their proof is wrong. They constructed an independent set I_k of $S_{n,k}$ with cardinality $\frac{n!}{(n-k+1)!}$ step by step. The following are their details.

Let $I_k^{(i,j)}$ be a vertex-set, which includes the vertices of I_k if the vertices don't include element i, and $I_k^{(i,j)}$ includes the vertices of I_k if the vertices include element i but swap i with j.

Step 1: Clearly, $I_2 = \{12, 23, \ldots, (n-k+1)(n-k+2), (n-k+2)1\}$ is an independent set of $S_{n-k+2,2}$;

Step 2: In $S_{n-k+3,3}$, let $I_{3(n-k+3)} = \{\beta(n-k+3) : \beta \in I_2\}$ and $I_{3x} = \{\beta x : x \in [n-k+2], \beta \in I_2^{(x,n-k+3)}\}$. Now, we let $I_3 = \bigcup_{x\in[n-k+3]} I_{3x}$. Clearly, $|I_3| = (n-k+3)(n-k+2)$;

. . . . . .

Step k: In $S_{n-k+3,3}$, let $I_{k(n-k+k)} = \{\beta(n-k+3) : \beta \in I_{k-1}\}$ and $I_{kx} = \{\beta x : x \in [n-1], \beta \in I_{k-1}^{(x,n-k+k)}\}$. Now, we let $I_k = \bigcup_{x\in[n]} I_{kx}$. Clearly, $|I_k| = n(n-1)\ldots(n-k+3)(n-k+2) = \frac{n!}{(n-k+1)!}$.

The above I_k is constructed in Wei's paper [18]. However, their construction is wrong and without detailed proof. Next we use their method to construct the independent set I_3 for $S_{4,3}$.

By Step 1, $I_2 = \{12, 23, 31\}$ is a maximum independent set of $S_{3,2}$. By Step 2, $I_{34} = \{124, 234, 314\}$, $I_{31} = \{421, 231, 341\}$, $I_{32} = \{142, 432, 312\}$, $I_{33} = \{123, 243, 413\}$. Then $I_4 = \bigcup_{x\in[4]} I_{3x}$. However, the vertices 124 and 421 are adjacent in $S_{4,3}$ (see Figure 2). So their construction is wrong.

In the next section, we will give a new method to construct a maximum independent sets partition of $S_{n,k}$ and show detailed proof for our result, Which generalized Wei et. al.'s result.

4 Maximum independent sets partition of $S_{n,k}$

Proposition 4.1 *The independent number of $S_{n,k}$ is $\alpha(S_{n,k}) \leq \frac{n!}{(n-k+1)!}$.*

Proof. This conclusion is true for $k = 1$ since $S_{n,1} \cong K_n$. Next, assume $k \geq 2$. Let I be any maximum independent set of $S_{n,k}$. For any $\alpha = p_2p_3 \dots p_k \in \Gamma_{n,k-1}$ $(k \geq 2)$, let $V_\alpha = \{p_1\alpha : p_1 \in [n],\ p_1 \neq p_i,\ 2 \leq i \leq k\}$. Then the subgraph of $S_{n,k}$ induced by V_α is a complete graph of order $n-k+1$, denoted by K^α_{n-k+1} by Lemma 2.2. Thus, I contains at most one vertex in K^α_{n-k+1}. By definition, there are exactly $\frac{n!}{(n-k+1)!}$ such K^α_{n-k+1}. Therefore, $\alpha(S_{n,k}) = |I| \leq \frac{n!}{(n-k+1)!}$. ∎

Proposition 4.2 *Let $I^1_1 = \{1\}, I^1_2 = \{2\}, \dots, I^1_n = \{n\}$. Then $\{I^1_1, I^1_2, \dots, I^1_n\}$ is a maximum independent sets partition of $S_{n,1}$.*

Proposition 4.3 *Let*

$$\begin{aligned}
&I^2_1 = \{21, 32, 43, \dots, n(n-1), 1n\},\\
&I^2_2 = \{31, 42, 53, \dots, 1(n-1), 2n\},\\
&\dots\\
&I^2_{n-1} = \{n1, 12, 23, \dots, (n-2)(n-1), (n-1)n\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\{I^2_1, I^2_2, \dots, I^2_{n-1}\}$ is a maximum independent sets partition of $S_{n,2}$.

For each $j \in [n-k+1]$, we use I^2_j of $S_{n-k+2,2}$ to generate a maximum independent set I^3_j of $S_{n-k+3,3}$. Step by step, we generate a maximum independent set I^k_j of $S_{n,k}$ in the following. For each $i \in [k] \setminus \{1,2\}$, $\pi \in I^{i-1}_j$ and $x \in [n-k+i-1]$, denote by $\pi(x, n-k+i)$ be such a permutation that replace x by $n-k+i$ if $x \in \pi$ ($x \in \pi$ means x is equal to some symbol in π), otherwise $\pi(x, n-k+i) = \pi$. Let $\pi = p_1p_2 \dots p_i$ be any vertex in $S_{n-k+i,i}$. Denote by $\pi' = p_2p_1 \dots p_i$ be the vertex by exchanging the first two symbols in π.

Step 1: By Proposition 4.3, denote by $I^2_j = \{(j+1)1, (j+2)2, \dots, (n-k+2)(n-k+2-j), 1(n-k+3-j), 2(n-k+4-j), \dots, j(n-k+2)\}$ be a maximum independent set of $S_{n-k+2,2}$ for each $j \in [n-k+1]$.

Step 2: Let $I^3_j(n-k+3) = \{\pi'(n-k+3) : \pi \in I^2_j\}$ and $I^3_j(x) = \{\pi(x, n-k+3)x : \pi \in I^2_j\}$ for each $x \in [n-k+2]$. Let $I^3_j = \bigcup_{x\in[n-k+3]} I^3_j(x)$.

. . . . . .

Step i-1: Let $I^i_j(n-k+i) = \{\pi'(n-k+i) : \pi \in I^{i-1}_j\}$ and $I^i_j(x) = \{\pi(x, n-k+i)x : \pi \in I^{i-1}_j\}$ for each $x \in [n-k+i-1]$. Let $I^i_j = \bigcup_{x\in[n]} I^i_j(x)$.

. . . . . .

Step k-1: Let $I^k_j(n) = \{\pi' n : \pi \in I^{k-1}_j\}$ and $I^k_j(x) = \{\pi(x,n)x : \pi \in I^{k-1}_j\}$ for each $x \in [n-1]$. Let $I^k_j = \bigcup_{x\in[n]} I^k_j(x)$. ∎

Let $j \in [n-k+1]$ and $i \in [k]\setminus\{1,2\}$. By the above construction, it is easy to see that $I^i_j(x)$ is one corresponding to one with I^{i-1}_j for each $x \in [n-k+i]$ and $I^i_j(x) \cap I^i_j(x') = \emptyset$ for every $x' \in [n-k+i]\setminus\{x\}$. We can easily show the following conclusion by induction on i.

Proposition 4.4 *For each $j \in [n-k+1]$ and $i \in [k] \setminus \{1\}$, $|I_j^i| = \frac{(n-k+i)!}{(n-k+1)!}$, $I_j^i \cap I_{j'}^i = \emptyset$ for any $j' \in [n-k+1] \setminus \{j\}$. Therefore $\{I_1^i, I_2^i, \ldots, I_{n-k+1}^i\}$ is a vertex sets partition of $S_{n-k+i,i}$.*

In the following, we show that I_j^i is an independent set of $S_{n-k+i,i}$ for each $i \in \{3, 4, \ldots, k\}$, $k \geq 3$ and $j \in [n-k+1]$.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $i \in \{3, 4, \ldots, k\}$, $k \geq 3$ and $j \in [n-k+1]$. If I_j^i is an independent set of $S_{n-k+i,i}$, then I_j^{i-1} is an independent set of $S_{n-k+i-1,i-1}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.3, I_j^2 is an independent set of $S_{n-k+i-1,i-1}$ for each $j \in [n-k+1]$. Next assume $i \geq 4$. Suppose to the contrary that I_j^{i-1} is not an independent set of $S_{n-k+i-1,i-1}$. Firstly, assume $p_1p_2 \ldots p_s \ldots p_{i-1}$ and $p_sp_2 \ldots p_1 \ldots p_{i-1}$ be two adjacent vertices in I_j^{i-1} of $S_{n-k+i-1,i-1}$. If $s = 2$, then $p_1(n-k+i) \ldots p_{i-1}p_2$ and $(n-k+i)p_1 \ldots p_{i-1}p_2$ are belong to $I_j^i(p_2) \subseteq I_j^i$ by the construction of I_j^i, the one to one correspondence is

$$p_1p_2 \ldots p_{i-1} \leftrightarrow p_1(n-k+i) \ldots p_{i-1}p_2,$$
$$p_2p_1 \ldots p_{i-1} \leftrightarrow (n-k+i)p_1 \ldots p_{i-1}p_2.$$

However $p_1(n-k+i) \ldots p_{i-1}p_2$ and $(n-k+i)p_1 \ldots \ldots p_{i-1}p_2$ are adjacent in $S_{n-k+i,i}$, a contradiction. If $s > 2$, then $p_1(n-k+i) \ldots p_s \ldots p_{i-1}p_2$ and $p_s(n-k+i) \ldots p_1 \ldots p_{i-1}p_2$ are belong to $I_j^i(p_2) \subseteq I_j^i$ by the construction of I_j^i, the one to one correspondence is

$$p_1p_2 \ldots p_s \ldots p_{i-1} \leftrightarrow p_1(n-k+i) \ldots p_s \ldots p_{i-1}p_2,$$
$$p_sp_2 \ldots p_1 \ldots p_{i-1} \leftrightarrow p_s(n-k+i) \ldots p_1 \ldots p_{i-1}p_2.$$

However $p_1(n-k+i) \ldots p_s \ldots p_{i-1}p_2$ and $p_s(n-k+i) \ldots p_1 \ldots p_{i-1}p_2$ are adjacent in $S_{n-k+i,i}$, a contradiction.

Secondly, assume $p_1p_2 \ldots p_{i-1}$ and $p_ip_2 \ldots p_{i-1}$ be two adjacent vertices in I_j^{i-1} of $S_{n-k+i-1,i-1}$. By the construction of I_j^i, $p_1(n-k+i) \ldots p_{i-1}p_2$ and $p_i(n-k+i) \ldots p_{i-1}p_2$ are belong to $I_j^i(p_2) \subseteq I_j^i$, the one to one correspondence is

$$p_1p_2 \ldots p_{i-1} \leftrightarrow p_1(n-k+i) \ldots p_{i-1}p_2,$$
$$p_ip_2 \ldots p_{i-1} \leftrightarrow p_i(n-k+i) \ldots p_{i-1}p_2.$$

However $p_1(n-k+i) \ldots p_s \ldots p_{i-1}p_2$ and $p_i(n-k+i) \ldots p_s \ldots p_{i-1}p_2$ are adjacent in $S_{n-k+i,i}$, a contradiction. ∎

Lemma 4.2 *Let $i \in \{3, \ldots, k\}$, $k \geq 3$ and $j \in [n-k+1]$. If I_j^{i-1} is an independent set of $S_{n-k+i-1,i-1}$, then the two vertices $p\pi_1$ and $p\pi_2$ can not both belong to I_j^i of $S_{n-k+i,i}$ where π_1 and π_2 are adjacent in $S_{n-k+i-1,i-1}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.3, $I_j^2 = \{(j+1)1, (j+2)2, \ldots, (n-k+2)(n-k+2-j), 1(n-k+3-j), 2(n-k+4-j), \ldots, j(n-k+2)\}$ is an independent set of $S_{n-k+2,2}$.

We consider the case for $i = 3$. Suppose to the contrary that there exist two vertices $p\pi_1$ and $p\pi_2$ in I_j^3 but π_1 and π_2 are adjacent in $S_{n-k+2,2}$.

Assume $\pi_1 = p_1p_2$ and $\pi_2 = p_2p_1$. Then $pp_1p_2 \in I_j^3(p_2)$ and $pp_2p_1 \in I_j^3(p_1)$. If $p = n-k+3$, then p_2p_1 and p_1p_2 are in I_j^2 by the construction of I_j^3, the one to one correspondence is

$$(n-k+3)p_1p_2 \leftrightarrow p_2p_1,$$
$$(n-k+3)p_2p_1 \leftrightarrow p_1p_2.$$

However p_2p_1 and p_1p_2 are adjacent in $S_{n-k+2,2}$, a contradiction with I_j^2 is an independent set of $S_{n-k+2,2}$. If $p < n-k+3$, then pp_1 and pp_2 are two vertices in I_j^2 by the construction of I_j^3, the one to one correspondence is

$$pp_1p_2 \leftrightarrow pp_1,$$
$$pp_2p_1 \leftrightarrow pp_2.$$

A contradiction with the construction of I_j^2.

Now, assume $\pi_1 = p_1p_2$ and $\pi_2 = p_3p_2$. Then $pp_1p_2 \in I_j^3(p_2)$ and $pp_3p_2 \in I_j^3(p_2)$. If $p = n-k+3$, then p_2p_1 and p_2p_3 are in I_j^2 by the construction of I_j^3, the one to one correspondence is

$$(n-k+3)p_1p_2 \leftrightarrow p_2p_1,$$
$$(n-k+3)p_3p_2 \leftrightarrow p_2p_3.$$

A contradiction with the construction of I_j^2. If $p < n-k+3$, then pp_1 and pp_3 are two vertices in I_j^2 by the construction of I_j^3, the one to one correspondence is

$$pp_1p_2 \leftrightarrow pp_1,$$
$$pp_2p_1 \leftrightarrow pp_2.$$

A contradiction with the construction of I_j^2.

Therefore, the conclusion is true for $i = 3$.

We prove this Lemma by induction on i. Assume that the induction hypothesis is true for $i-1$ with $i \geq 4$. We prove the case for $i \geq 4$. Assume I_j^{i-1} is an independent set of $S_{n-k+i-1,i-1}$. Then I_j^{i-2} is an independent set of $S_{n-k+i-2,i-2}$ by Lemma 4.1. Suppose to the contrary that there exist two vertices $p\pi_1$ and $p\pi_2$ in I_j^i but π_1 and π_2 are adjacent in $S_{n-k+i-1,i-1}$.

Firstly, assume $\pi_1 = p_2p_3 \dots p_s \dots p_{i-1}p_i$ and $\pi_2 = p_sp_3 \dots p_2 \dots p_{i-1}p_i$. Suppose $s = i$. If $p = n-k+i$, then $p_ip_2p_3 \dots p_{i-1}$ and $p_2p_ip_3 \dots p_{i-1}$ are in I_j^{i-1} by the construction of I_j^i, the one to one correspondence is

$$(n-k+i)p_2p_3 \dots p_{i-1}p_i \leftrightarrow p_ip_2p_3 \dots p_{i-1},$$
$$(n-k+i)p_ip_3 \dots p_{i-1}p_2 \leftrightarrow p_2p_ip_3 \dots p_{i-1}.$$

However $p_ip_2p_3 \dots p_{i-1}$ and $p_2p_ip_3 \dots p_{i-1}$ are adjacent in $S_{n-k+i-1,i-1}$, a contradiction.

Now assume $p < n-k+i$, then $pp_2p_3 \dots p_{i-1}$ and $pp_ip_3 \dots p_{i-1}$ are two vertices in I_j^{i-1} by the construction of I_j^i, the one to one correspondence is

$$pp_2p_3 \dots p_{i-1}p_i \leftrightarrow pp_2p_3 \dots p_{i-1},$$
$$pp_ip_3 \dots p_{i-1}p_2 \leftrightarrow pp_ip_3 \dots p_{i-1}.$$

However $p_2p_3 \dots p_{i-1}$ and $p_ip_3 \dots p_{i-1}$ are adjacent in $S_{n-k+i-2,i-2}$, a contradiction with the induction hypothesis.

Next, suppose $s \neq i$. If $p = n-k+i$, then $p_ip_2p_3 \dots p_s \dots p_{i-1}$ and $p_ip_sp_3 \dots p_2 \dots p_{i-1}$ are two vertices in I_j^{i-1} by the construction of I_j^i. Now assume $p < n-k+i$. Then $pp_2p_3 \dots p_s \dots p_{i-1}$ and $pp_sp_3 \dots p_2 \dots p_{i-1}$ are two vertices in I_j^{i-1} by the construction of I_j^i. However, $p_2p_3 \dots p_s \dots p_{i-1}$ and $p_sp_3 \dots p_2 \dots p_{i-1}$ are two adjacent vertices in $S_{n-k+i-2,i-2}$, a contradiction with the induction hypothesis.

Secondly, assume $\pi_1 = p_2p_3 \dots p_{i-1}p_i$ and $\pi_2 = p_{i+1}p_3 \dots p_{i-1}p_i$. If $p = n-k+i$, then $p_ip_2p_3 \dots p_{i-1}$ and $p_ip_{i+1}p_3 \dots p_{i-1}$ are two vertices in I_j^{i-1} by the construction of I_j^i. Now suppose $p < n-k+i$. Then $pp_2p_3 \dots p_{i-1}$ and $pp_{i+1}p_3 \dots p_{i-1}$ are two vertices in I_j^{i-1} by the construction of I_j^i, However $p_2p_3 \dots p_{i-1}$ and $p_{i+1}p_3 \dots p_{i-1}$ are two adjacent vertices in $S_{n-k+i-2,i-2}$, a contradiction with the induction hypothesis.

By the principle of induction, this Lemma completes. ∎

Lemma 4.3 *Let $i \in \{3, \dots, k\}$, $k \geq 3$ and $j \in [n-k+1]$. If I_j^{i-1} is an independent set of $S_{n-k+i,i}$, then $I_j^i(x)$ is an independent set of $S_{n-k+i,i}$ for each $x \in [n-k+i]$.*

Proof. Assume $i = 3$. Suppose to the contrary that $I_j^3(x)$ is not an independent set of $S_{n-k+3,3}$. Assume p_1p_2x and p_2p_1x be two adjacent vertices in $I_j^3(x)$. By the construction of $I_j^3(x)$, $p_1p_2, p_2p_1 \in I_j^2$ if $n-k+3 \notin \{p_1, p_2\}$ and $xp_2, p_2x \in I_j^2$ if $p_1 = n-k+3$ (the case for $p_2 = n-k+3$ is similar). A contradiction with the construction of I_j^2 in Proposition 4.3. Assume p_1p_2x and p_3p_2x be two adjacent vertices in $I_j^3(x)$. By the construction of $I_j^3(x)$, we have

$$p_2p_1, p_2p_3 \in I_j^2, \quad \text{if } x = n-k+3,$$

$$p_1x, p_3x \in I_j^2, \quad \text{if } p_2 = n-k+3,$$

$$xp_2, p_3p_2 \in I_j^2, \quad \text{if } p_1 = n-k+3,$$

$$p_1p_2, xp_2 \in I_j^2, \quad \text{if } p_3 = n-k+3,$$

$$p_1p_2, p_3p_2 \in I_j^2, \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

Any case above makes a contradiction with the construction of I_j^2 in Proposition 4.3.

We proceed by induction on $i \geq 3$. Assume that the induction hypothesis is true for $i-1$ with $i \geq 4$. Next we prove $I_j^i(x)$ is an independent set of $S_{n-k+i,i}$ for each $x \in [n-k+i]$. Suppose to the contrary that $I_j^i(x)$ is not an independent set of $S_{n-k+i,i}$.

Firstly, assume $p_1p_2 \dots p_s \dots p_{i-1}x$ and $p_sp_2 \dots p_1 \dots p_{i-1}x$ be two adjacent vertices in $I_j^i(x)$. On one hand, suppose $x = n-k+i$. By the construction of $I_j^i(n-k+i)$, we have

$$p_2p_1 \dots p_s \dots p_{i-1}, p_2p_s \dots p_1 \dots p_{i-1} \in I_j^{i-1}, \quad \text{if } s \geq 3,$$

$$p_2p_1 \dots p_{i-1}, p_1p_2 \dots p_{i-1} \in I_j^{i-1}, \quad \text{if } s = 2.$$

The first case makes a contradiction with the result in Lemma 4.2, since $p_1p_3 \dots p_s \dots p_{i-1}$ and $p_sp_3 \dots p_1 \dots p_{i-1}$ are adjacent in $S_{n-k+i-2,i-2}$. The second case makes a contradiction with I_j^{i-1} is an independent set. On the other hand, suppose $x < n-k+i$. By the construction of $I_j^i(x)$, we have $p_1p_2 \dots p_s \dots p_{i-1}, p_sp_2 \dots p_1 \dots p_{i-1} \in I_j^{i-1}$ if $p_t \neq n-k+i$ for each $t = 1, 2, \dots, i-1$ (if $p_t = n-k+i$ for some $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, i\}$, we just replace p_t by x). However, $p_1p_2 \dots p_s \dots p_{i-1}$ and $p_sp_2 \dots p_1 \dots p_{i-1}$ are adjacent in $S_{n-k+i-1,i-1}$, a contradiction with I_j^{i-1} is an independent set.

Secondly, assume $p_1p_2\dots p_{i-1}x$ and $p_ip_2\dots p_{i-1}x$ be two adjacent vertices in $I_j^i(x)$. If $x = n-k+i$, then $p_2p_1\dots p_{i-1}$ and $p_2p_i\dots p_{i-1}$ are two vertices in I_j^{i-1} by the construction of $I_j^i(n-k+i)$. However $p_1\dots p_{i-1}$ and $p_i\dots p_{i-1}$ are two adjacent vertices in I_j^{i-2}, a contradiction with the result in Lemma 4.2. Assume $x < n-k+i$. By the construction of $I_j^i(x)$, we have $p_1p_2\dots p_{i-1}, p_ip_2\dots p_{i-1} \in I_j^{i-1}$ if $p_t \neq n-k+i$ for each $t = 1, 2, \dots, i-1, i$ (if $p_t = n-k+i$ for some $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, i\}$, we just replace p_t by x). However, $p_1p_2\dots p_{i-1}$ and $p_ip_2\dots p_{i-1}$ are adjacent in $S_{n-k+i-1,i-1}$, a contradiction with I_j^{i-1} is an independent set.

By the principle of induction, this Lemma completes. ∎

Theorem 4.1 *The vertex set I_j^i is an independent set of $S_{n-k+i,i}$ for each $j \in [n-k+1]$ and $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, k\}$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on $i \geq 2$. By Proposition 4.3, I_j^2 is an independent set of $S_{n-k+2,2}$. Assume that the induction hypothesis is true for $i-1$ with $i \geq 3$.

Assume I_j^{i-1} is an independent set of $S_{n-k+i-1,i-1}$. By Lemma 4.3, $I_j^i(x)$ is an independent set of $S_{n-k+i,i}$ for each $x \in [n-k+i]$. Suppose to the contrary that I_j^i is not independent. Assume $p_1p_2\dots p_{i-1}p_i$ and $p_ip_2\dots p_{i-1}p_1$ be two adjacent vertices in I_j^i (This is the only possible case since $I_j^i(x)$ is an independent set of $S_{n-k+i,i}$ for each $x \in [n-k+i]$). If $p_1 = n-k+i$, then $p_ip_2\dots p_{i-1}$ and $p_2p_i\dots p_{i-1}$ should be in I_j^{i-1} by the construction of I_j^i, but they are two adjacent vertices in I_j^{i-1}, a contradiction (the case for $p_i = n-k+i$ is similar). If $p_s = n-k+i$ for some s with $2 \leq s \leq i-1$, then $p_1p_2\dots p_i\dots p_{i-1}$ (replace $n-k+i$ by p_i) and $p_ip_2\dots p_1\dots p_{i-1}$ (replace $n-k+i$ by p_1) should be in I_j^{i-1} by the construction of I_j^i, but they are two adjacent vertices in I_j^{i-1}, a contradiction. Now assume $p_s \neq n-k+i$ for each $s \in [i]$. Then $p_1p_2\dots p_{i-1}$ and $p_ip_2\dots p_{i-1}$ should be in I_j^{i-1} by the construction of I_j^i, but they are two adjacent vertices in I_j^{i-1}, a contradiction. This Theorem completes. ∎

Theorem 4.2 *The constructed I_j^k is a maximum independent set of $S_{n,k}$ for each $j \in [n-k+1]$ and $\alpha(S_{n,k}) = \frac{n!}{(n-k+1)!}$. Moreover, $\{I_1^k, I_2^k, \dots, I_{n-k+1}^k\}$ is a maximum independent sets partition of $S_{n,k}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.1, $\alpha(S_{n,k}) \leq \frac{n!}{(n-k+1)!}$. By Proposition 4.4, $I_j^k = \frac{n!}{(n-k+1)!}$. By Theorem 4.1, I_j^k is an independent set of $S_{n,k}$. Therefore, I_j^k for each $j \in [n-k+1]$ is a maximum independent set of $S_{n,k}$ and $\alpha(S_{n,k}) = \frac{n!}{(n-k+1)!}$. By Proposition 4.4, $\{I_1^k, I_2^k, \dots, I_{n-k+1}^k\}$ is a vertex sets partition of $S_{n,k}$, so $\{I_1^k, I_2^k, \dots, I_{n-k+1}^k\}$ is a maximum independent sets partition of $S_{n,k}$. ∎

Since $\{I_1^k, I_2^k, \dots, I_{n-k+1}^k\}$ is a maximum independent sets partition of $S_{n,k}$, we immediately obtain the chromatic number of $S_{n,k}$.

Corollary 4.1 *The chromatic number of $S_{n,k}$ is $\chi(S_{n,k}) = n-k+1$.*

Next, we show the maximum independent sets partition of $S_{4,3}$ by our construction.

Example 4.1 (See Figure 2) *By Proposition 4.3,* $I_1^2 = \{21, 32, 13\}$ *and* $I_2^2 = \{31, 12, 23\}$ *are two maximum independent sets of* $S_{3,2}$*. The constructed two maximum independent sets of* $S_{4,3}$ *are:*

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^3 &= \{124, 234, 314\} \cup \{241, 321, 431\} \cup \{412, 342, 132\} \cup \{213, 423, 143\} \\ &= I_1^3(4) \cup I_1^3(1) \cup I_1^3(2) \cup I_1^3(3), \\ I_2^3 &= \{134, 214, 324\} \cup \{341, 421, 231\} \cup \{312, 142, 432\} \cup \{413, 123, 243\} \\ &= I_2^3(4) \cup I_2^3(1) \cup I_2^3(2) \cup I_2^3(3). \end{aligned}$$



Figure 2: The $(3,2)$-star graph $S_{3,2}$ and the $(4,3)$-star graph $S_{4,3}$.

References

[1] S. B. Akers and B. Krishnamurthy, A group theoretic model for symmetric interconnection networks, IEEE Trans Comput 38 (4) (1989), 555-566.

[2] J.-H. Chang and J. Kim, Ring embedding in faulty $S_{n,k}$-star graphs, Proc 8th Int Conf Parallel and Distributed Systems, KyongJu, Korea, 2001, pp. 99-106.

[3] Y.-Y. Chen, D.-R. Duh, T.-L. Ye, J.-S. Fu, Weak-vertex-pancyclicity of $S_{n,k}$-star graphs, Theor Comput Sci 396 (2008), 191-199.

[4] E. Cheng, J.W. Grossman, L. Lipták, K. Qiu, Z. Shen, Distance formula and shortest paths for the $S_{n,k}$-star graphs, Inf Sci 180 (9) (2010), 1671-1680.

[5] E. Cheng and M.J. Lipman, Unidirectional $S_{n,k}$-star graphs, J Interconnect Networks 3 (1-2) (2002), 19-34.

[6] E. Cheng, L. Lipták, D.E. Steffy, Strong local diagnosability of $S_{n,k}$-star graphs and Cayley graphs generated by 2-trees with missing edges, Inf Process Lett 113 (12) (2013), 452-456.

[7] W.-K. Chiang and R.-J. Chen, The $S_{n,k}$-star graphs: a generalized star graph, Inf Process Lett 56 (1995), 259-264.

[8] W.-K. Chiang and R.-J. Chen, Topological properties of the $S_{n,k}$-star graph, Int J Found Comput Sci 9 (1998), 235-248.

[9] K. Day and A. Triphthi, A comparative study of topological properties of hypercubes and star graphs, Trans Parallel Distrib Syst 5 (1) (1994), 31-38.

[10] D.-R. Duh, T.-L. Chen, Y.-L. Wang, $(n-3)$-edge-fault-tolerant weak-pancyclicity of $S_{n,k}$-star graphs, Theor Comput Sci 516 (9) (2014), 28-39.

[11] H.-C. Hsu, Y.-L. Hsieh, J.J.M. Tan, L.-H. Hsu, Fault hamiltonicity and fault hamiltonian connectivity of the $S_{n,k}$-star graphs, Networks 42 (4) (2003), 189-201.

[12] H.-C. Hsu, C.-K. Lin, H.-M. Hung, L.-H. Hsu, The spanning connectivity of the $S_{n,k}$-star graphs, Int J Found Comput Sci. 17 (2006), 415-434.

[13] X.-J. Li and J.-M. Xu, Fault-tolerance of $S_{n,k}$-star networks, Appl Math Comput 248 (2014), 525-530.

[14] T.-C. Lin, D.-R. Duh, H.-C. Cheng, Wide diameters of $S_{n,k}$-star networks, Proc 2nd Int Conf Computing, Communications and Control Technologies, Austin, USA, 2004, pp. 160-165.

[15] T.-C. Lin and D.-R. Duh, Constructing vertex-disjoint paths in $S_{n,k}$-star graphs, Inf Sci 178 (2008), 788-801.

[16] Z. Shen, K. Qiu, E. Cheng, On the surface area of the $S_{n,k}$-star graph, Theor Comput Sci 410 (52) (2009), 5481-5490.

[17] J. Wang, X.-R. Xu, D.-J. Zhu, L.-Qing Gao, J.-M. Xu, On the bounds of feedback numbers of $S_{n,k}$-star graphs, Inf Process Lett 112 (12) (2012), 473-478.

[18] Y. Wei, F. Chen, H. Zhu, Independent number and dominating Number of (n,k)-star graphs, Telkomnika, 11 (2013), 310-315.

[19] Y. Xiang and I.A. Stewart, One-to-many node-disjoint paths in $S_{n,k}$-star graphs, Discrete Appl Math 158 (2010), 62-70.

[20] J.-M. Xu, Theory and Application of Graphs. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 2003.

[21] W.-H. Yang, H.-Z. Li, X.-F. Guo, A kind of conditional fault tolerance of $S_{n,k}$-star graphs, Inf Process Lett 110 (2010), 1007-1011.

[22] S. Zhou, The conditional fault diagnosability of $S_{n,k}$-star graphs, Appl Math Comput 218 (19) (2012), 9742-9749.